# **EXHIBIT A**

2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 2 of 17

City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al., No. 2:18-cv-03487

Defendants' Core Trial Exhibit List, with Plaintiff's Remaining Objections and Defendants' Responses

#### Ex. No. **Beg Bates** Description **Plaintiff's Remaining Objections** Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections DoD Has raised objection to the use of this documents: "This is highly confidential material. We would ask to seal. This document identifies input from DoD experts on how changes to potential PFAS environmental regulations or toxicity values will impact DoD's mission (e.g., procurement of weapons systems, occupational health program) and what future Defendants' Response: Document is relevant to the background and development of AFFF. Document's description of actions DoD should take based on our cost/benefit analysis. DoD has consistently protected the candor required in this DRAFT Addendum to Phase 1 Impact Assessment for PFOS/PFOAhe benefits and use is also relevant to the risk/benefit analysis. Per negotiations with the U.S. government, this exhibit Emerging Chemical of Concern process." Plaintiff: Objects to Relevance & Waste of Time (R.401/R.402/R.403) - DoD is DTRX 000001 AF06-00011639 Cost-Benefit Assessment for Replacement of Legacy Aqueous Film will be withdrawn and replaced with DTRX0411 (DOD02-00000766), which is on Defendants' long exhibit list and will be he not the AFFF user in Stuart and thus their cost to replace AFFF is irrelevant & would be a waste of the jury's time. This Form Forming Foam (AFFF) 6 April 2015 elevated to the core list. Defendants have agreed with the DoD on acceptable redactions to that document, and is a draft documents containing highly confidential government information and its probative value is outweighed by the Defendants sent those proposed redactions to Plaintiff for review on April 27, 2023, and are awaiting Plaintiff's review. risk confusion, and any minimal conditional relevance may be outweighed by the need to obtain similarly classified and/or national security and U.S. force protection government information necessary to establish any relevance or cure undue prejudice. DTRX 000002 AFFF-MDL-CHE-00004030 DTRX\_000003 AFFF-MDL-CHE-00440442 DTRX 000004 AFFF-MDL-CHE-00469064 DTRX 000005 AFFF-MDL-EID-00009863 DTRX 000006 AFFF-MDL-EID-00088587 DTRX 000007 AFFF-MDL-EID-00213153 DTRX 000008 AFFF-MDL-EID-00274967 DTRX 000009 AFFF-MDL-EID-00275342 DTRX\_000010 AFFF-MDL-EID-00703657 DTRX 000011 AFFF-MDL-EID-01039342 DTRX 000012 AFFF-MDL-EID-01258961 DTRX 000013 AFFF-MDL-EID-01310632 DTRX 000014 AFFF-MDL-EID-02796852 DTRX 000015 AFFF-MDL-EID-02807059 DTRX 000016 AFFF-MDL-EID-02831624 DTRX 000017 AFFF-MDL-EID-02834341 DTRX\_000018 AFFF-MDL-EID-02848568 DTRX 000019 AFFF-MDL-EID-02930354 DTRX 000020 AFFF-MDL-EID-02940219 DTRX 000021 AFFF-MDL-EID-03017389 DTRX 000022 AFFF-MDL-EID-03024532 DTRX 000023 AFFF-MDL-EID-03026311 DTRX 000024 AFFF-MDL-EID-03036375 DTRX\_000025 AFFF-MDL-EID-03039693 DTRX 000026 AFFF-MDL-EID-03040845 DTRX 000027 AFFF-MDL-EID-03043042 DTRX 000028 AFFF-MDL-EID-03151115 DTRX 000029 AFFF-MDL-EID-03210098 DTRX 000030 AFFF-MDL-EID-03325510 DTRX 000031 AFFF-MDL-EID-03369150 DTRX 000032 AFFF-MDL-EID-03417485 DTRX\_000033 AFFF-MDL-EID-03421790 DTRX 000034 AFFF-MDL-EID-03553086 DTRX 000035 AFFF-MDL-EID-03689128 DTRX 000036 AFFF-MDL-EID-04310896 DTRX 000037 AFFF-MDL-EID-04330345 DTRX 000038 AFFF-MDL-EID-05432796 DTRX 000039 ARKEMAINC AFFF0029161 DTRX\_000040 EPA01-00161771 DTRX 000041 FF EPA011 00811298 DTRX 000042 FFFC000001 DTRX 000043 FFFC000029 DTRX 000044 FFFC000045 DTRX 000045 FFFC000051 DTRX 000046 FFFC001180 DTRX 000047 FFFC001186 DTRX 000048 FFFC001232

2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 3 of 17

City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al.,

No. 2:18-cv-03487

Defendants' Core Trial Exhibit List,

## with Plaintiff's Remaining Objections and Defendants' Responses

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_000049	FFFC001250			
DTRX_000050	FFFC001256			
DTRX_000051	FFFC001302			
DTRX_000052	FFFC001306			
DTRX_000053	FFFC001312			
DTRX_000054	FFFC001331			
DTRX_000055	FFFC001339			
DTRX_000056	FFFC001346			
DTRX_000057	FFFC001389			
DTRX_000058	FFFC001402			
DTRX_000059	FFFC001406			
DTRX_000060	FFFC003826			
DTRX_000061	FFFC005053			
DTRX_000062	FFFC013604			
DTRX_000063	NF000166078			
DTRX_000064	AFFF-MDL-EID-06999822			
DTRX_000067		AFFF Product Listings of Stuart Fire Rescue - Felicione Deposition Exhibit 10		
DTRX_000079	DYNAX0005824			
DTRX_000080	DYNAX0005723			
DTRX_000109	NF001292414			
DTRX_000166	NF001292454			
DTRX_000172	Kidde_Defendants_00062625			
DTRX_000173	Kidde_Defendants_00153031			
DTRX_000174	Kidde_Defendants_00152132			
DTRX_000176	NF000173837			
DTRX_000177	NF000003966			
DTRX_000178	Kidde_Defendants_00060464			
DTRX_000179	Kidde_Defendants_00147617			
DTRX_000180	Kidde_Defendants_00145329			
DTRX_000181	Kidde_Defendants_00145324			
DTRX_000184	NF000157370			
DTRX_000185	Kidde_Defendants_00141686			
DTRX_000189	Kidde_Defendants_00053293			
DTRX_000193	Kidde_Defendants_00027943			
DTRX_000202	Kidde_Defendants_00416228			
DTRX_000203	Kidde_Defendants_00416032			
DTRX_000204	Kidde_Defendants_00414848			
DTRX_000214	Kidde_Defendants_00031735			
DTRX_000217	NF001292616			
	NF001292678			
	NF001295235			
DTRX_000224	NF001295211			
DTRX_000250	N/A	EPA "40 CFR Part 721 Perfluoroalkyl Sulfonates; Significant New Use Rule," Federal Register 72, No. 194 (Oct. 9, 2007)		
DTRX_000304	FF_NAVY04_00000914	MIL-PRF-24385F(SH) w/ AMENDMENT 7 7 September 2017 - PERFORMACE SPECIFICATION Fire Extinguishing Agent Aqueous Film-Forming Foam (AFFF) Liquid Concentrate, For Fresh and Sea Water	Relevance & Waste of Time (R.401/R.402/R.403) - Stuart does not involve MIL-Spec AFFF, thus the MIL-Spec is irrelevant and a waste of the jury's time. Additionally Contains "Exhibit 11" Cover Page from prior Court pleading as well as docket numbers from filings that may confuse the jury as to its relevance, application, meaning, actual probative value.	Defendants' Response: The United States confirmed this document produced by the U.S. in this litigation is a business record in November 2, 2021 U.S. Responses and Objections to Defendants' Co-Lead Counsel's Second Set of Requests for Admission to the United States of America, Response to Request No. 3. Document is relevant to show knowledge of qualities and use of PFAS by entities other than the defendants. It is also relevant to show risk-benefit analysis of qualities and use of PFAS. 3M agrees to redact or remove cover page and docket numbers from filing prior to use at trial.

2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 4 of 17

City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al.,

No. 2:18-cv-03487

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_000311	ADA432176	Aircraft Carrier Flight and Hangar Deck Fire Protection: History and Current Status (January 2005) – Robert L. Darwin Hughes Associates, Inc.	Relevance & Waste of Time (R.401/R.402/R.403/R.106) - Stuart does not involve AFFF use on an aircraft carrier or DoD use, thus this document is irrelevant and waste of the jury's time. Contains conflicting "Exhibit 25" Cover Page from prior Court pleading as well as docket numbers from filing. Prejudicial images of fires in military combat and may be afforded undue weight due the historical significance of military operations and disasters depicted. Includes hearsay statements within the documents including incomplete portions of reports or references, or what appear to be portions of other hearsay documents, the sources and authors of which cannot be identified. R.106 The document is incomplete and contains only 31 of at least a total of 97 pages identified in the TOC. For example, after page 1 it skips to page 40, and skips sections throughout, and the section on "Lessons Learned and Relevant Research" is missing page 93; section on "Currrent Shortcomings and Future Concerns: is missing entirely at pages 94-97; as is the section on "References" which is missing entirely at page 97+.	Defendants' Response: The United States confirmed the status of this document as a business record from the Defense Technical Information Center in November 2, 2021 U.S. Responses and Objections to Defendants' Co-Lead Counsel's Second Set of Requests for Admission to the United States of America, Response to Request No. 4. Document is relevant to the background and development of AFFF. Document's description of the benefits and use is also relevant to the risk/benefit analysis. Use of AFFF in historical fires is not prejudicial and is relevant to risk/benefit analysis for AFFF. Defendants agree to redact or remove cover page and docket numbers prior to use at trial. Defendants are also willing to replace document with the complete version of the document.
DTRX_000316	US-Darwin-00010008	Untitled	marketing not original to the document (e.g., "Exhibit 30" Cover Page from prior Court pleading as well as docket	Defendants' Response: Document qualifies as an ancient document under Rule 806(16) because it is from before January 1, 1998. See November 1, 2021, Declaration of Robert L. Darwin (citing this document as one in his files, created prior to January 1, 1998, and received in the ordinary course of business for the Navy). Document is relevant to the background and development of AFFF. Document's description of the benefits and use is also relevant to the risk/benefit analysis.
DTRX_000427	N/A	Agenda Packet - Regular Meeting of Stuart City Commission,		
DTRX_000547	N/A	January 9, 2023  Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 2021.  Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls		
DTRX_000610	CTRLED002338881	,		
DTRX_000659	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00321597			
DTRX_000768	N/A	Jane I. Lataille, Environmental Issues in Fire Protection (Fire Protection Handbook), 1997 (excerpt)		
DTRX_000770	N/A	Firefighter's Handbook, Essentials of Firefighting and Emergency Response, March 3, 2004 (excerpt)		
DTRX_000772	N/A	S. King, City of Stuart: Water quality safe following concerns of potentially harmful chemicals in supply, ABC 25WPBF	Confusion/Waste of Time (R.403) - this local news article is discussing the slight uptick in PFOS levels in November 2022, but does so in the context of the original source of PFOS which is could cause juror confusion as to whether Stuart is aware that the original source of the PFOS is AFFF, which, of course it is aware of that; additionally, there is information included directing the reader to other articles that are irrelevant and more prejudicial than probative, see e.g. links to articles about a local homicide, links to EPA information implying the truth of the entirety of the exhibit or government approval of the information, as well as to "learn more about your health." Hearsay (R.802) & (R.805) (Hearsay within Hearsay). The article attributes causes of PFOS levels to "city leaders" without identifying who those leaders are and does not quote the individual. Plaintiff does not object on hearsay grounds to the specific quotes in the article attributable to specific City personnel, i.e., Mike Woodside, so long as proper foundation is laid pursuant to R.801(d)(2). The news article is first level Hearsay R.802, second level are statements by identified and unknown sources.	<b>Defendants' Response</b> : Defendants agree that this, like other newspaper articles on Plaintiff's list, constitutes hearsay. However, as Plaintiff notes, this article contains admissions by representatives of the City of Stuart and are admissible under 801(d)(2). Defendants agree to limit use of this article accordingly assuming Plaintiff is bound by the same rules.
DTRX_000775	N/A	FM Global, Foam Extinguishing Systems 4-12, April 2021 (Interim Revision January 2023)		
DTRX_000892	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00133878			
DTRX_000945	N/A	Steenland, K; Barry, V; Savitz, D. 2018. "Serum perfluorooctanoic acid and birthweight: An updated metaanalysis with bias analysis." Epidemiology 29(6):765-776.		
DTRX_000962	N/A	Steenland, K; Winquist, A. 2021. "PFAS and cancer, a scoping review of the epidemiologic evidence." Environ. Res. 194:110690.		

2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 5 of 17

City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al. ,

No. 2:18-cv-03487

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_000963	N/A	Steenland, K; Fletcher, T; Stein, CR; Bartell, SM; Darrow, L; Lopez- Espinosa, MJ; Barry Ryan, P; Savitz, DA. 2020. "Review: Evolution of evidence on PFOA and health following the assessments of the C8 Science Panel." Environ. Int. 145:106125.		
DTRX_000965		Article J. Solomon, Stuart moves forward on long-term plan for more sustainable water source, TC Palm	Confusion/Waste of Time (R.401/R.402/R.403) - the article is discussing the period of time before Stuart was aware that its ion exchange treatment plant would adequately treat the surficial and thus inaccurately suggests that the City is going to the Floridian Aquifer; Hearsay (R.802) (R.805) - The article's author misstates the facts with respect to the City's PFAS treatment plant. Plaintiff does not object on hearsay grounds to the specific quotes in the article attributable to specific City personnel, i.e., Dave Peters. so long as proper foundation is laid pursuant Rule 802(d). Additionally, there is irrelevant information included directing the reader to other articles that are irrelevant and more prejudicial than probative, see e.g. links to "Best Tasting Water Supply" Link. The news article is first level Hearsay R.802. As well as a R.106 in relations to information related to the potential FLEPA loan and application for legislative grant is cursory and may require extensive information to contextualize and make complete.	<b>Defendants' Response</b> : Defendants agree that this, like other newspaper articles on Plaintiff's list, constitutes hearsay. However, as Plaintiff notes, this article contains admissions by representatives of the City of Stuart and are admissible under 801(d)(2). Defendants agree to limit use of this article accordingly assuming Plaintiff is bound by the same rules.
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00656359			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00063317			
	Stuart_Inspection_000062			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00321226			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00640713			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01789168			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01994985			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01787765			
	3M_GU00000114			
	3M_AFFF_MDL03374344			
DTRX_001376	3M_AFFF_MDL03593249			
DTRX_001377		Book edited by J.H. Simon, Fluorine Chemistry Vol. 5, Copyright Academic Press Library of Congress Catalog Card No.: 50-11325		
DTRX 001378	3M AFFF MDL02307244			
DTRX_001380	3M_BELL00505428			
DTRX 001381	3M AFFF MDL03540026			
DTRX 001382	3M AFFF MDL00647479			
DTRX 001386	3M_GU00714419			
	3M_GU00396360			
DTRX_001390	3M_AFFF_MDL01296632			
DTRX_001391	3M_AFFF_MDL00484675			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00041860			
	3M_AFFF_MDL02320486			
	3M_BELL00347111			
	3M_BELL02717546			
	HazenSawyer_Stuart00039020			
	HazenSawyer_Stuart00039681			
	HazenSawyer_Stuart00039812			
	Holtz_Subpoena_00011382			
	Holtz_Subpoena_00011385			
	Holtz_Subpoena_00011553			
	Holtz_Subpoena_00012211			
	JLAGeosciences_Stuart_000077			
	KimleyHorn_Stuart_003136			
	KimleyHorn_Stuart_003206			
	KimleyHorn_Stuart_003207			
	KimleyHorn_Stuart_003212			
	KimleyHorn_Stuart_003323			
DTKX_001426	KimleyHorn_Stuart_003342			

2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 6 of 17

City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al. ,

No. 2:18-cv-03487

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_001459	KimleyHorn_Stuart_030068			
DTRX_001483	KimleyHorn_Stuart_060380			
DTRX_001485	KimleyHorn_Stuart_060663			
DTRX_001512	Raftelis_Stuart_003744			
DTRX_001518	Raftelis_Stuart_007323			
DTRX 001525	Raftelis Stuart 010756			
DTRX_001546	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00004617			
DTRX_001568	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00006073			
DTRX_001580	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00006453			
DTRX_001586	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00006673			
DTRX_001589	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00006833			
DTRX_001600	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00007183			
DTRX_001606	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00007512			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00008569			
DTRX_001618	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00009529			
DTRX_001689	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00036972			
DTRX_001695	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00042335			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00042399			
DTRX_001707	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00043337			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00043464		Improper Lay Opinion (R.701) - Mr. Miller is an engineer who is providing a speculative opinion for which he does not have the requisite scientific, technical or specialized knowledge required under R.702 for identifying the source of PFAS contamination. Such opinion evidence Miller's speculative out of court statements related to is layman' assessment of highly technical opinions requires specialized training in hydrology and/or fate and transport. Mr. Miller was not disclosed as someone providing such testimony by Defendants. Additionally, Mr. Miller's statements providing an undisclosed and layman's summary of a study discussed in the email along with the link to that study, and his interpretation of its results as related to Stuart are pure speculation, and is based upon his unqualified interpretation of a hearsay document not contained in the exhibit, i.e. the study is not included in the email, and thus Mr. Miller's assessment in the email is incomplete (R.106); and the study itself should not be permitted into evidence under R.803(18), or used in trial until proper a foundation laid with a witness sufficient qualified to discuss its contents and context.	Defendants' Response: This statement constitutes a party admission under 801(d)(2). Mark Miller of Kimley Horn is a consultant of the City of Stuart on the disputed issues in this case. The City hired Mr. Miller and Kimley Horn to advise them on the very topics addressed in his email. In his CV, Mr. Miller describes himself, in part, as being a "licensed Professional Engineer who practices as a senior water treatment specialist with 35 years of experience. His principal areas of practice include water treatment systems design, hydraulic investigations and design, and structural engineering."
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00044105			
_	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00045019			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00045045			
_	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00045057			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00045752			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00046087			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00047035			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00063946			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00064051 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00066143			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00066143 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00066197			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00066197 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00066224			
_	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00066224 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00080779			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00080779 Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00093398			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00093398 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00096291			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00096291			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00097526			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00100374			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00100720			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00113741			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00113809			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00113917			
211W_001343	J. 10 CV 03407_00114114			

## 2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 7 of 17 City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al., No. 2:18-cv-03487 Defendants' Core Trial Exhibit List,

Defendants' Core Trial Exhibit List,	
with Plaintiff's Remaining Objections and Defendants' Responses	

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_001947	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00114218			
DTRX_001949	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00114291			
DTRX_001961	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00121463			
DTRX_001966	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00127809			
DTRX_001967	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00127848			
DTRX_001970	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00128639			
DTRX_001971	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00129583			
DTRX_001972	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00129740			
DTRX_001973	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00129741			
DTRX_001993	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00132149			
DTRX_001999	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00132435		funds from the State of Florida and/or Other Third Parties as Collateral Sources. R. 401 & R.403 - This document is part	Defendants' Response: Plaintiff's MIL No. 3 addresses materials relating to prior writings of Plaintiff's experts. Plaintiff's MIL No. 6 seeks to exclude evidence and arguments regarding Plaintiff's receipt of funds from the State of Florida and/or other Third Parties. As Plaintiff concedes in that MIL, any government funding provided to Plaintiff to transition to the Floridan Aquifer does not constitute a collateral source because Plaintiff claims to have abandoned this plan. Mr. Peters testified that this document was part of the same document dated 12/18/2015, which is a Kimley-Horn feasibility study.
DTRX 002005	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00133878			
DTRX_002012	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00135411			
DTRX_002024	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00142320			
DTRX_002028	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00146603			
DTRX_002044	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00154870			
DTRX_002045	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00158438			
DTRX_002050	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00164845			
DTRX_002051	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00166572			
DTRX_002057	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00182845			
DTRX_002060	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00186204			
DTRX_002063	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00191558			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00197206			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00222857			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00223891			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00223954			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00224264			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00225860			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00228041			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00228115			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00228132			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00234436			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00312371 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00319198			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00319198 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00321226			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00321226 Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00325513			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00325513			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00329104			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00330889			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00333586			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00340246			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00340421			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00377793			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00377793			
_	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00410802			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00434487			
_	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00558773			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00569659			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00574573			
	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00574373			
DTNA_002460	3tddit_2.10-tv-03407_00303702			

2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 8 of 17

City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al. ,

No. 2:18-cv-03487

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_002484	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00585029			
DTRX_002498	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00585805			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00588259			
DTRX_002549	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00589502 Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00600627			
DTRX_002608	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00617646		R.401/R.402/R.403 (Confusion & Waste of Time) - The email pertains to construction of a RO system that Stuart could never afford to build, never built, had to abandon and was in relation only to a feasibility study for such a RO facility, not the facility itself. The city was only looking into the feasibility study because of a grant opportunity that it turned out the city did not even qualify for. Thus, the fact that its outside engineer was pressuring them to do this is both irrelevant, will cause confusion and waste significant time explaining the context of this document. Moreover, statements made in these emails are highly prejudicial because without proper context or the need to waste time providing, there is probability that jurors could mistakenly believe that Stuart was considering draining contaminated water on or nearby park and recreation areas or other surface waters in the community, will cause confusion and waste significant time requiring a witness with sufficient technical/scientific/historical knowledge to explain the context of this document and the issues it implies. Improper Lay Opinion (R.701) - Mr. Miller is a fact witness on Defendants "may call" list and the email describes opinion evidence. Mr. Miller was not disclosed as someone providing opinion testimony by Defendants.	Defendants' Response: Plaintiff is seeking damages for fees charged by its consultants, including Kimley Horn, associated with investigating the implementation of an RO system to treat water from the Floridan Aquifer. Plaintiff is also seeking damages for "PFOS and PFOA related work" by the city staff and consulting time of Dave Peters for work on PFOA and PFOS. Neither of these damages components excludes time spent investigating transitioning to the Floridan Aquifer. This exchange between Kimely Horn and Dave Peters investigating sites for wells for the Floridan in 2014 is relevant to those components of Plaintiff's damages. This exchange is also relevant to show that Plaintiff was investigating transitioning to the Floridan Aquifer before PFAS became an issue for the City in 2016. Defendants do not intend to use this particular document to suggest that Stuart was considering draining contaminated water on parks or recreation areas and there is not a significant risk of juror confusion regarding the same. Nor is this document being used for purposes of introducing expert or lay opinion by Mark Miller.
DTDV 002617	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00624701			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00624701 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00624813			
_	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00624813 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00640713			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00640713 Stuart 2:18-cv-03487 00647680			
_	Stuart 2:18-cv-03487_00647680			
	WSP_Stuart_028170			
	PENNA-NAVY-011366			
DTRX_002975	3M_BELL01551367			
DTRX_002976		Article by S. Frisbee et al, The C8 Health Project: Design, Methods, and Participants, Environmental Health Perspectives Vol. 117 No. 12 1873-1883		
		Article by G. Olsen et al, Plasma Cholecystokinin and Hepatic		
DTRX_002977		Enzymes, Cholesterol and Lipoproteins in Ammonium		
D111X_002377		Perfluorooctanoate Production Workers, Drug and Chemical		
		Toxicology Vol. 23 No. 4 603-620		
DTRX_002978		Report by L. Schuman and J. Mandel, An Epidemiologic Mortality Study of Employees at the Chemolite Plant		
		Article by F. Gilliland and J. Mandel, Mortality Among Employees		
DTRX_002980		of a Perfluorooctanoic Acid Production Plant, Journal of		
		Occupational Medicine Vol. 35 No. 9 950-954		
DTRX_002981		Article by F. Gilliland and J. Mandel, Serum Perfluorooctanoic Acid and Hepatic Enzymes, Lipoproteins, and Cholesterol: A Study of Occupationally Exposed Men, American Journal of Industrial Medicine Vol. 29 560-568		
DTRX_002982		Article by J. Butenhoff et al, The Applicability of Biomonitoring Data for Perfluorooctanesulfonate to the Environmental Public Health Continuum, Environmental Health Perspectives Vol. 114 No. 11 1776-1872		
DTRX_002996		Report by South Florida Water Management District, Upper East Coast Water Supply Plan Update Planning Document		
DTRX_002999		Report by South Florida Water Management District, Upper East Coast Water Supply Plan Planning Document 2004 Update	Objection withdrawn except as the current version containing "JX 49" Cover Page from prior Court pleading as well as docket numbers from filing. This document contains conflicting exhibit numbers and other markings not original to the document.	<b>Defendants' Response:</b> Defendants agree to remove cover page and docket numbers prior to seeking to admit document into evidence.
DTRX_003002	Eurofins_Stuart_003342		1	

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_003094	3M_AFFF_MDL00207950			
DTRX_003099	3M_AFFF_MDL00684897			
DTRX_003102	3M_AFFF_MDL00705047			
DTRX_003103	3M_AFFF_MDL01058567			
DTRX_003104	3M_AFFF_MDL01058568			
DTRX_003114	3M_AFFF_MDL01105343			
DTRX_003117	3M_AFFF_MDL01635203			
DTRX_003118	3M_AFFF_MDL01788690			
DTRX_003119	3M_AFFF_MDL01869260			
DTRX_003120	3M_AFFF_MDL02174751			
DTRX_003121	3M_AFFF_MDL02174756			
DTRX_003123	3M_AFFF_MDL02292506			
	3M_AFFF_MDL02305844			
	3M_AFFF_MDL02308975			
DTRX_003128	3M_AFFF_MDL02312371			
	3M_AFFF_MDL02338707			
DTRX_003132	3M_AFFF_MDL02594522			
	3M_AFFF_MDL02984655			
	3M_AFFF_MDL03539777			
	3M_BELL01441252			
	3M_GU00000108			
	3M_GU00000180			
	3M_GU00009979			
	3M_GU00010289			
	3M_GU00010603			
	3M_GU00013443			
	3M_GU00013445			
	3M_GU00020056			
	3M_GU00025598			
	3M_GU00051460			
	3M_GU00156771			
	3M_GU00575335			
DTRX_003201 DTRX_003209	3M_AFFF_MDL00234704 3M_AFFF_MDL00192144			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00192144 3M_AFFF_MDL00198882			
	3M AFFF MDL00203210			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00206345			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00244647			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00412514			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00432850			
	3M AFFF MDL00435678			
	3M AFFF MDL00435878			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00435898			
	3M AFFF MDL00436111			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00436132			
	3M AFFF MDL00437138			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00705036			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01112511			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01296670			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01296732			
DTRX_003289	3M_AFFF_MDL01587097			
DTRX_003298	3M_AFFF_MDL01645715			
_	3M_AFFF_MDL01645716			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01789231			
_	3M_AFFF_MDL01789404			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01860482			
DTRX_003326	3M_AFFF_MDL02306959			

2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 10 of 17

City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al. ,

No. 2:18-cv-03487

Defendants' Core Trial Exhibit List,
with Plaintiff's Remaining Objections and Defendants' Responses

Top	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	tes Description	Beg Bates	Ex. No.
The State   The		<b>3.</b> (1. )			
Dec.   2015					
Total Control		+			
Total   Control   Contro					
The process   Section   The process   The					_
Str.					
Str.   Color			0959		
Ditt					
Description					
DTK_005000   MELUS405390   DTK_005000   DT				_	
DITES,   COUNTY   C				_	_
DTRC_000140   M. BRILLOSSESSES				_	
STRE_001240   Mile   RELIGIOSE   Street   Stre				_	
DTRC, 003423   300   8EL010444910					
DRK   0.00423   Mg   ELL0349510				_	
DRK_003425   M. BELL013455818				_	
DRE_ORDAY2   DATE_ORDAY2   D					
DRIA, 003440   M.   BELLUS11175				_	
DTR_003440   M_ELLUS\$15348					
DTK_003442   M_ BELLUS #47048					
DTRX_003445   MBELU2545748				_	
DTRX_003459   MBELID2796323					
DTRX, 003478   M. BELIU2756623					
DTRX_003497   3M_ BLU0052812				_	
DTEX, 003495   3M					
DTEX, 003497   M. GU00052812   DTEX, 003497   M. GU00052812   DTEX, 003498   M. GU00052853   DTEX, 003498   M. GU00052853   DTEX, 003498   M. GU00052853   M. GU00052854   DTEX, 003593   M. GU00052857   DTEX, 003593   M. GU0052857   DTEX, 003593   M. GU0052859   DTEX, 003593   DTE				_	
DTRX, 003497   M. GU00199253   M. GU00200608   DTRX, 003502   M. GU00200608   DTRX, 003503   M. GU00206010   DTRX, 003505   M. GU00206010   DTRX, 003505   M. GU00206010   DTRX, 003505   M. GU0020610   DTRX, 003505				_	
DTRX, 003502   3M, GU00290268				_	
DTRX_003502   3M_GU002602608					
DTRX_003503   3M_GU00342305					
DTRX_003517   3M_GU00342305					
DTRX_003535 3M_GU00590157					
DTRX_003543					
DTRX_003541 3M_GU00632857  DTRX_003542 3M_GU00689424  DTRX_003548 3M_GU00869939  DTRX_003548 3M_GU00856959  DTRX_003555 3M_GU01999256  DTRX_003573 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242  DTRX_003573 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242  DTRX_003574 3M_GU01998256  DTRX_003575 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242  DTRX_003576 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242  DTRX_003577 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242  DTRX_003578 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242  DTRX_003579 3M_AFFF_MDL01863569  DTRX_003584 3M_BELL03265151  DTRX_003644 PENNA-NAVY-018764  DTRX_003649 PENNA-NAVY-018764  DRAW_003649 PENNA-NAVY-019778  MILITARY SPECIFICATION MILF-24385F: FIRE EXTINGUISHING AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Glarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  DEFINAL OR AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Glarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  DEFINAL OR AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Glarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  DEFINAL OR AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Glarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  DEFINAL OR AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Glarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  DEFINAL OR AGENT AND SEAWATER PATRICIA THE AGENT AND SEAWATER PATRICIA THE AGENT AND SEAWATER PATRICIA THE				_	
DTRX_003545 3M_GU00889324  DTRX_003546 3M_GU00886959  DTRX_003558 3M_GU01999256  DTRX_003558 3M_GU01999256  DTRX_003573 3M_AFFF_MDI01298242  DTRX_003573 3M_AFFF_MDI01298242  DTRX_003575 3M_AFFF_MDI01298242  DTRX_003576 3M_AFFF_MDI01298242  DTRX_003576 3M_AFFF_MDI01298242  DTRX_003577 3M_AFFF_MDI01298242  DTRX_003578 3M_AFFF_MDI01298242  DTRX_003579 3M_AFFF_MDI01298242  DTRX_003570 3M_AFFF_MDI01298242  DTRX_003584 3M_BELI03265151  DTRX_003640 PENNA-NAVY-018764  DTRX_003640 PENNA-NAVY-019778  DTRX_003640 PENNA-NAVY-019778  DTRX_003640 PENNA-NAVY-019778  DFRX_003640 P				_	
DTRX_003546 3M_GU00856959 DTRX_003557 3M_GU01999256 DTRX_003558 3M_GU02108373 DTRX_003558 3M_AGU02108373 DTRX_003558 3M_AGU02108373 DTRX_003558 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242 DTRX_003558 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242 DTRX_003558 3M_AFFF_MDL01863569 DTRX_003564 3M_AFFF_MDL018				_	
DTRX_003558 3M_GU01999256 5 5 3M_GU0199256 5 5 3M_GU0199256 5 5 3M_GU02108373 5 5 3M_GFF_MDL01298242 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5				_	
DTRX_003555 3M_GU01999256 DTRX_003558 3M_GU02108373 DTRX_003573 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242 DTRX_003575 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242 DTRX_003575 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242 DTRX_003575 3M_AFFF_MDL01863569 DTRX_003584 3M_BELL03265151 DTRX_003644 PENNA-NAVY-018764 DTRX_003644 PENNA-NAVY-018778 DFRNA-NAVY-019778 MILITARY SPECIFICATION MIL-F-24385F: FIRE EXTINGUISHING AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  DTRX_003645 DEFENDATION OF THE MIL-Spec Foam, thus description of the MIL-					
DTRX_003578 3M_GU02108373				_	
DTRX_003573 3M_AFFF_MDL01298242 DTRX_003575 3M_AFFF_MDL01863569 DTRX_003584 3M_BELL03265151 DTRX_003643 PENNA-NAVY-018764  DTRX_003644 PENNA-NAVY-019778 MILITARY SPECIFICATION MILF-24385F: FIRE EXTINGUISHING AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  DTRX_003644 PENNA-NAVY-019778 Televant to the background and development of AFFF. the benefits and use of AFFF is also relevant to the risk/benefit analysis. The United States of Concentrate, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette					
DTRX_003575 3M_AFFF_MDL01863569			0242		
DTRX_003643 3M_BELL03265151					
DTRX_003643 PENNA-NAVY-018764  DTRX_003644 PENNA-NAVY-018764  DTRX_003644 PENNA-NAVY-019778 MILITARY SPECIFICATION MIL-F-24385F: FIRE EXTINGUISHING AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  Defendants' Response: Document is relevant to the background and development of AFFF. the benefits and use of AFFF is also relevant to the risk/benefit analysis. The United States of Produced by the U.S. in this litigation is a business record in November 2, 2021 U.S. Response is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  Defendants' Response: Document is relevant to the background and development of AFFF. the benefits and use of AFFF is also relevant to the risk/benefit analysis. The United States of Produced by the U.S. in this litigation is a business record in November 2, 2021 U.S. Response is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  Defendants' Response: Document is relevant to the background and development of AFFF. the benefits and use of AFFF is also relevant to the risk/benefit analysis. The United States of Produced by the U.S. in this litigation is a business record in November 2, 2021 U.S. Response is entirely irrelevant to the AFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time.  Defendants' Co-Lead Counsel's Second Set of Requests for Admission to the United States of Defendants' Co-Lead Counsel's Second Set of Requests for Admission to the United States of Defendants' Co-Lead Counsel's Second Set of Requests for Admission to the United States of Defendants' Co-Lead Counsel's Second Set of Requests for Admission to the United States of Defendants' Co-Lead Counsel's Second Set of Requests for Admission to the United States of Counsel's Second Set of Requests for Admission to the Un			3303		
MILITARY SPECIFICATION MIL-F-24385F: FIRE EXTINGUISHING AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette			4		
AGENT, AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FORM (AFFF) LIQUID CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette	annual in relationship the health and and the street of Arrest December 2011			FLININA-INAVI-U18/04	D1RA_003043
DTRX_003644 PENNA-NAVY-019778 CONCENTRATE, FOR FRESH AND SEAWATER Patricia A. Tatem and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette Search Concentration to the United States of Penna-Navy-019778 and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette Search Concentration to the United States of Penna-Navy-019778 and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette Search Concentration to the United States of Penna-Navy-019778 and Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette Search Concentration to the United States of Penna-Navy-019778 and Concentration of the MIL-Spec Foam, thus description of the MIL-Spec					
Clarence Whitehurst Naval Research Laboratory & Ralph Ouellette   Second Set of Requests for Admission to the United States of Defendants' Co-Lead Counsel's Second Set of Requests for Admission to the United States of		Relevance/Waste of Time (R.401/R.403) - Stuart does not involve AFFF MII-Spec Foam, thus description of the MIL-Spec		DENNA NAVV 010779	DTBV 003644
		is entirely irrelevant to the ΔFFFs at issue at Stuart and will only serve to waste jury time		FLININA-INAVI-U19//8	D1KA_003644
I Paguact No. 3	er's second set of requests for Admission to the United States of America, Respons	<b>'</b>			
and Robert L. Darwin Hughes Associates, Inc Request No. 3.			and Robert L. Darwin rugnes Associates, inc		
TANK GOOGE AND THE COMMON TO T				U.S. D	DTDV 003653
DTRX_003650 US-Darwin-00010008			8	US-Darwin-00010008	D1KX_003650

2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 11 of 17

City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al. ,

No. 2:18-cv-03487

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_003738	US-Darwin-00011594	NFPA Journal May/June 1995	recipient of the brochure was other than a DoD entity See Naval Command. Moreover, Tyco/Ansul is no longer a	<b>Defendants' Response</b> : Document qualifies as an ancient document under Rule 806(16) because it is from May/June 1995. Document contains information relevant to the background, development, benefits, potential risks, and uses of AFFF at pages US-Darwin-00011662 through -00011668.
DTRX_003792		Aerial photograph of Public Safety Complex		
DTRX_003793		Aerial Photograph Station 2		
DTRX_003794		Aerial Google Image of Station 2 and Landfill		
DTRX_003795		Aerial Google Image of Station 2		
DTRX_003796		Aerial Google Image of Landfill		
DTRX_003797		Aerial Google Image of 18th Street		
DTRX_003798		Letter From Mark Miller To Frank Petosa Mader enclosing Mark Miller's City of Stuart AFFF Expert Report and CV		
DTRX_003800		From Mark Miller To David Peters Mader Demonstrative: PFOS does not Biodegrade in the Environment, Answer: True	Improper Lay Opinion (R.701) - Mr. Miller is an engineer who is providing an opinion for which he does not have the requisite scientific, technical or specialized knowledge required under R.702 for identifying the source of PFAS contamination. Such opinion evidence requires specialized training in hydrology and/or fate and transport. Mr. Miller was not disclosed as someone providing such testimony by Defendants. Mr. Miller's statements providing an undisclosed and layman's opinion of a study discussed in the email along with the link to that study, and his interpretation of its results as related to Stuart are pure speculation, and is based upon his unqualified interpretations of a hearsay document not contained in the exhibit, i.e. the study is not included in the email, and thus Mr. Miller's assessment in the email is incomplete (R.106); and the study itself should not be permitted into evidence under R. 803(18), or used in trial until proper foundation laid with a witness sufficient qualified to discuss its contents and context.	Defendants' Response: This is a 2016 email from Mr. Miller of Kimley Horn to Mike Woodside of the City of Stuart describing a recent uptick in PFCs in reclaimed water that has already been treated by the City's water treatment system. The City was using this reclaimed water for irrigation at a number of locations in the City. This document is relevant to show that the City was on notice that reclaimed water that it was using for irrigation at various locations throughout the City had PFAS in it, which is relevant to comparative fault, mitigation of damages and Plaintiff's credibility. Defendants are not introducing the content of the study cited in this exchange or Mr. Miller's interpretation of that study as a lay or expert opinion as to its truth.
DTRX_003801		From Mark Miller To dpeters@ci.stuart.t.us, "Woodside, Mike" <mwoodside@ci.stuart.fl.us>, "Hitchcock, Paul" <phitchcock@ci.stuart.fl.t.es> E-mail(s) - PFAS Media Recommendation</phitchcock@ci.stuart.fl.t.es></mwoodside@ci.stuart.fl.us>		
DTRX_003802		From Mark Miller To Nick Black Kaitlin Dombrowski E-mail(s) - Fwd.: PFC Treatment Update - updated Costs		
DTRX_003803		From Mark Miller To Dave Peters December 7, 2017 Kimley-Horn letter to Dave Peters		
DTRX_003806		Water Facilities Plan: City of Stuart Pretreatment and Alternative Water Supply Project May 2018, Updated June 2018, Amended January 2020		
DTRX_003807		City of Stuart Water Treatment Plant, Treatment Investigation for Perfluorinated Compounds (PFC's) PFOA and PFOS, March 2017		
DTRX_003809	Stuart_2:18-cv-00063046			
DTRX_003812		City of Stuart Annual Report (2020)		
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00676474			
DTRX_003824	Holtz_Subpoena_00013812			

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_003825	KimleyHorn_Stuart_191384	June 26, 2017 City of Stuart, Florida Agenda Item Request City Commission - Requesting Authorization for Execution of Legal Services Contract	Relevance/Prejudice (R.401/R.402/R.403) - An Attorney's Retainer Agreement is entirely irrelevant to any issue of fact in consequence to this action. Further, it is prejudicial to the city for the jury to know what the attorneys stand to earn from any verdict. The documents refers and cites to potentially necessary information to satisfy the Rule of Completeness depending on how the documents is used,. Plaintiffs prior objections/MILs related to Attorney Driven issues.	<b>Defendants' Response</b> : Defendants agree not to introduce the attached Attorney Retainer Agreement into evidence.
DTRX_003827	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00099959			
DTRX_003828	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00111178			
_	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00538782			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00660165			
DTRX_003831	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00693831			
DTRX_003861		The Florida Senate Local Funding Initiative Request: Fiscal Year 2019-2020 - Alternative Water Supply Project		
DTRX_003862		The Florida Senate Local Funding Initiative Request: Fiscal Year		
DTRX_003864		2020-2021 - Alternative Water Supply Project Phase 2 The Florida Senate Local Funding Initiative Request: Fiscal Year		
		2022-2023 - Alternative Water Supply Project Phase 4		
	3M_AFFF_MDL00460300			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00021303			
	3M_GU00318554 3M_AFFF_MDL01240310			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01240310 3M_AFFF_MDL01240313			
_	3M_AFFF_MDL00647420			
	3M AFFF MDL00080526			
	3M_BELL00833248			
	3M_AFFF_MDL02327806			
DTRX_003902	3M_GU00589179			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00705380			
	3M_AFFF_MDL02183014			
_	3M_BELL01434048			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00435684			
	3M_BELL01458377 3M_AFFF_MDL00459379			
DTRX_004233				
DTRX_004242		Report; Biochemistry Involving Carbon-Fluorine Bonds an ACS Symposium Series by the American Chemical Society, editor R.		
D1KX_004242		Filler (Symposium sponsored by Fluorine and Biological Chemistry		
		Ther (Symposium sponsored by Fluorine and Biological Chemistry		
	3M_AFFF_MDL00433301			
_	3M_AFFF_MDL00437122			
	3M_AFFF_MDL00631443 3M_AFFF_MDL01644898			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01644898 3M_AFFF_MDL01789398			
	3M_AFFF_MDL017893384			
	3M BELL01440136			
-	_	Article by J. Martin et al., Analytical Challenges Hamper		
DTRX_004362		Perfluoroalyki Research, JULY 1, 2004 / ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY		
DTRX_004367		Article by D. Taves, Evidence that there are Two Forms of Fluoride in Human Serum, Nature Vol. 217 (1968) 1050-1051		
DTRX_004416	3M_AFFF_MDL00188934			
DTRX_004784		Article by Gilliland, F. D., and J. S. Mandel. 1993. "Mortality among employees of a perfluorooctanoic acid production plant." Journal of Occupational & Environmental Medicine 35 (9):950-954.		
		5. Secupational & Environmental Medicine 35 (5),550-554.		

Defendants' Core Trial Exhibit List,

## with Plaintiff's Remaining Objections and Defendants' Responses

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_005166		Article by G. Olsen et al., Plasma cholecystokinin and hepatic enzymes, cholesterol and lipoproteins in ammonium perfluorooctanoate production workers, Drug and Chemical Toxicology 23 (4):603-620, 2000.		
	3M_AFFF_MDL00079141			
	3M_AFFF_MDL01861913			
	3M_BELL00039497			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00045550			
_	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00063046 Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00063317	Upper East Coast Entities' 2009 Progress Report	Hearsay ( <b>R.802</b> ) - the document contains hearsay statements. The document is also subject to <b>MIL No 6</b> insofar as it	Defendants' Response: This is admissible as an admission by a party opponent under 801(d)(2). This document was prepared by the City of Stuart and submitted in response to a request from the South Florida Water District. To the extent the document contains references to government loans, as set forth in Defendants' response to MIL No. 6, Defendants do not intend to argue that such loans should reduce the amount of damages sought by the City of Stuart. Defendants are willing to consider appropriate redactions consitent with the positions set forth herein and in response to Plaintiff's motion.
DTRX_005722	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00064138			
DTRX_005735	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00114109			
DTRX_005741	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00131301			
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00133688			
DTRX_005746	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00133980			
DTRX_005747	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00137018			
DTRX_005767	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00183386			
DTRX_005815	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00660310			
DTRX_005819	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00660540			
DTRX_005822	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00693782			
DTRX_005823	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00693807			
DTRX_005837		Article by F.D. Griffith, Animal toxicity studies with ammonium perflourooctane		
DTRX_005854		Reverse Osmosis Water Treatment Plant (Stuart)		
DTRX_005995		Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 2019.  "PFAS: An Overview of the Science and Guidance for Clinicians on Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)." 21p., December 6.		
DTRX_005996		Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 2021. "Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls." 993p., May.		
DTRX_006016		Australia, Expert Health Panel for PFAS. 2018. "Expert Health Panel for Per- and Poly-Fluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) [PFAS Expert Health Panel – Report to the Minister]." Report to Australia, Dept. of Health. 446p., March. Accessed at http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/C9734E06BE238EC0CA2581BD00052C03/\$File/expert-panel-report.pdf.	Australia s, so the conclusions made to a foreign regulatory body are irrelevant; Hearsay (R.802/R.802) - the panel	Defendants' Response: Defendants should be permitted to use this article with an expert under Rule 803(18) as an exception to the hearsay rule. As to relevance, one area of dispute in this matter is the relative risk of PFAS to human health. It is important for the jury to hear and assess different assessment's as to the relative risk of PFAS, which experts will testify to, and not solely rely on the EPA's statements. Moreover, this type of evidence is relevant to assessing defendants' state of mind and reasnableness of their actions; not Stuart's.
DTRX_006026		Bacon, IR; Keller, WC; Anderson, ME; Back, KC. 1981. "Teratologic Evaluation of a Model Perfluorinated Acid, NDFDA." AFAMRL-TR- 81-14, NTIS ADA095370, 10p., January.		
DTRX_006065		Article by W. Brewster and S. Birnbaum, The biochemical toxicity of perfluorodecanoic acid in the mouse is different from that of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 99(3):544-554, 1989. doi: 10.1016/0041-008x(89)90161-0.		
DTRX_006073		Article by J. Butenhoff et al., 2002. "Toxicity of ammonium perfluorooctanoate in male cynomolgus monkeys after oral dosing for 6 months." Toxicol. Sci. 69:244-257.		
DTRX_006080		Article by J. Butenhoff et al., 2004a. "Pharmacokinetics of perfluorooctanoate in cynomolgus monkeys." Toxicol. Sci. 82:394-406.		

2:18-mn-02873-RMG

Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 14 of 17
City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al.,
No. 2:18-cv-03487

May 8, 2023

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_006262		Report by L. Singer and R. Ophaug, Ionic and NoNionic Fluoride in Plasma (Or Serum), Volume 18, Issue 2		
DTRX_006304		International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). 2016b. "IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans: Volume 110: Some Chemicals Used as Solvents in Polymer Manufacture." IARC Monograph No. 110. 289p. Accessed at http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol110/mono110.pdf.		
DTRX_006369		Kover, FD. [US EPA]. 1981b. Internal correspondence to J. Merenda [re: Status Report on 3M's TSCA submissions 8EHQ-1180-03735, 8EHQ-1180-03745, 8EHQ-0281-03735 Supplement, and 8EHQ-0281-03745 Supplement]. 7p., April 21. [3M_AFFF_MDL01298228 - 3M_AFFF_MDL01298234]		
DTRX_006383		Langley, AE; Pilcher, GD. 1985. "Thyroid, bradycardic and hypothermic effects of perfluoro-n-decanoic acid in rats." J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 15(3-4):485-491. doi: 10.1080/15287398509530675.		
DTRX_006439		Article by D. Leubker et al., 2005a. "Two-generation reproduction and cross-foster studies of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) in rats." Toxicology 215(1–2):126-148.		
DTRX_006440		Article by D. Leubker et al., 2005b. "Neonatal mortality from in utero exposure to perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) in Sprague-Dawley rats: Dose-response, and biochemical and pharmacokinetic parameters." Toxicology 215(1-2):149-169.		
DTRX_006481		Minnesota Dept. of Health (MDH). 2018d. "Brief Update on Cancer Occurrence in East Metro Communities." 22p., February. Accessed at https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/environment/tracking/docs/eastmetrobirthoutcomes.pdf.		
DTRX_006524		Olsen, GW; Burris, JM; Mandel, JH; Zobel, LR. 1999. "Serum perfluorooctane sulfonate and hepatic and lipid clinical chemistry tests in fluorochemical production employees." J. Occup. Environ. Med. 41(9):799–806.		
DTRX_006528		Olsen, GW; Gilliland, FD; Burlew, MM; Burris, JM; Mandel, JS; Mendel, JH. 1998a. "An epidemiologic investigation of reproductive hormones in men with occupational exposure to perfluorooctanoic acid." J. Occup. Environ. Med. 40:614-622.		
DTRX_006576		Roach, DE. [3M Co.]. 1982. "Internal memorandum to F. Ubel re: Fluorochemical control study." 9p., May 25.		
DTRX_006629		Article by K. Steenland et al., 2020. "Review: Evolution of evidence on PFOA and health following the assessments of the C8 Science Panel." Environ. Int. 145:106125. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2020.106125.		
DTRX_006668		Ubel, FA; Sorenson, SD; Roach, DE. 1980. "Health status of plant workers exposed to fluorochemicals - A preliminary report." Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 41(8):584-589.  The Florida Senate, Local Funding Initiative Request, Fiscal Year		
DTRX_006850		2021-2022, LFIR#: 1615, Project Title: Stuart Alternative Water Supply Phase III		
DTRX_006852		[Stuart] Request for Extension of Time, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 -Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_006856		[Stuart] Request for Extension, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 - Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		
DTRX_006858		[Stuart] Request for Extension of Time, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 -Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		
DTRX_006861		[Stuart] Request for Extension of Time, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 -Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		
DTRX_006862		[Stuart] Request for Extension of Time, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 -Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		
DTRX_006864		[Stuart] Request for Extension of Time, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 -Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		
DTRX_006867		[Stuart] Request for Extension of Time, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 -Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		
DTRX_006868		[Stuart] Request for Relocation of PW-6, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Request for Relocation of Production Well PW-6		
DTRX_006871		[Stuart] Request for Extension of Time, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 -Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		
DTRX_006873		[Stuart] Request for Extension of Time, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 -Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		
DTRX_006875		[Stuart] Request for Extension of Time, City of Stuart, Public Water Supply, Water Use Permit No. 43-00053-W, Application No. 200612-14 -Request for Extension in Response to RAI dated July 9, 2020		
DTRX_006881	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00025178			
DTRX_006882	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00659486	City of Stuart, Florida Adopted Budge Fiscal Years 2022	Portions of the budget relate to <b>Plaintiff's MIL No. 6</b> to exclude evidence and/or argument related to funding for PFAS treatment which Plaintiff has moved to exclude as a collateral source, such portions need redaction	<b>Defendants' Response</b> : As set forth in Defendants' response to MIL No. 6, Defendants do not intend to argue that any funding for PFAS treatment should reduce the amount of damages sought by the City of Stuart. Defendants are willing to consider appropriate redactions conssitent with the positions set forth herein and in response to Plaintiff's motion.
DTRX_006883	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00064665	FLEPA Letter to Tim Voelker, ity of Stuart RE: DW530431 - Stuart Installation of RO Treeatment and Floridian Wells w/ Lonad Agreement	The document is subject to <b>Plaintiff's MIL No. 6</b> to exclude evidence and/or argument concerning receipt of funds from third parties, including from the State of Florida, as collateral sources.	<b>Defendants' Response</b> : As set forth in Defendants' response to MIL No. 6, Defendants do not intend to argue that any funding for PFAS treatment should reduce the amount of damages sought by the City of Stuart. However, Plaintiff is maintaining that the cost of the RO facility built to access the Floridan Aquifer was a "central factor" in the City's decision to abandon its plans to continue work on that facility. This document relates directly to that disputed issue.
DTRX_006890	Raftelis_Stuart_017801	Alternative Water Supply Update October 11, 2021	The document is subject to <b>Plaintiff's MIL No. 6</b> to exclude evidence and/or argument concerning receipt of funds from third parties, including from the State of Florida, as collateral sources.	Defendants' Response: As set forth in Defendants' response to MIL No. 6, Defendants do not intend to argue that any funding for PFAS treatment should reduce the amount of damages sought by the City of Stuart. However, Plaintiff is maintaining that the cost of the RO facility built to access the Floridan Aquifer was a "central factor" in the City's decision to abandon its plans to continue work on that facility. This document contains statements directly related to that disputed issue.
	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00719623			
_	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00719683			
DTRX_006893	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00720240			

## 2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 16 of 17 City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al. , No. 2:18-cv-03487

Ex. No.	Beg Bates	Description	Plaintiff's Remaining Objections	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections
DTRX_007288	Stuart_2:18-cv-03487_00228115			
_	3M_AFFF_MDL03248822 3M_AFFF_MDL01317997			
DTRX_007497	Kidde Defendants 00000646			
DTRX 007498	Kidde Defendants 00129255			
DTRX_007499	Kidde_Defendants_00366095			
DTRX_007500	Kidde_Defendants_00646061			
DTRX_007501	Kidde_Defendants_00646061			
DTRX_007505	PENNA_NAVY_016389			
DTRX_007506		Australia, Expert Health Panel for PFAS: Summary. 2018.	Relevance R.401/R. 402/R.403 - As a result of the regulatory framework, Stuart is required to treat its PFAS contamination so the fact that one particular Australian panel concluded that PFAS has no health risks is irrelevant since the EPA's position is that PFAS are most likely carcinogenic and is thus proposing PFAS MCLs. Stuart is under the EPA's umbrella, not Australia's, so the conclusions made to a foreign regulatory body are irrelevant; Hearsay (R.801/R802) - the panel conclusions are also hearsay. Authenticity R.901/R.902.(3)(3) Nor has this record's authenticity been properly established as because no extrinsic evidence has been produced to support what this exhibit purports to be nor has it been property certified as a Foreign Public Record per R.902(3) as required to be self-authenticating.	Defendants' Response: Defendants should be permitted to use this article with an expert under Rule 803(18) as an exception to the hearsay rule. As to relevance, one area of dispute in this matter is the relative risk of PFAS to human health. It is important for the jury to hear and assess different assessment's as to the relative risk of PFAS, which experts will testify to, and not solely rely on the EPA's statements. Moreover, this type of evidence is relevant to assessing defendants' state of mind and reasonableness of their actions; not Stuart's. As to authentication, this document can be authenticated by an expert who can testify as to its source and the expert's understanding of the Australian's Health Panel's status as a reliable authority.
DTRX_007507		Article: Phasing Out a Problem: Perfluorooctyl Sulfonate (PFOS), Mary F. Dominiak, 3 August 2000		
DTRX_007508	3M_AFFF_MDL00436057			
DTRX_007510	AMEREX_00445765			
DTRX_007511		Pamphlet: Best Practice Guidance for Fluorinated Firefighting Foams (Questions and Answers), prepared by the FFFC, Fire Fighting Foam Coalition		
DTRX_007512	AFFTC00045290			
DTRX_007513	STUART_2:18-CV-03487_00775809			
DTRX_007514	STUART_2:18-CV-03487_00774651			
DTRX_007515	STUART_2:18-CV-03487_00775257			
DTRX_007517	STUART_2:18-CV-03487_00775195			
DTRX_007518	STUART_2:18-CV-03487_00775197			
DTRX_007519	STUART_2:18-CV-03487_00776059			
	STUART_2:18-CV-03487_00775650			
DTRX_007521	STUART_2:18-CV-03487_00776092			
DTRX_007522		Letter to S. Day (JLA Geosciences) from A. Naya re Project Name City of Stuart Public Water Supply Water Use Permit Application No. 200612-14, Permit No.43-00053-W, Martin County		
DTRX_007523		Letter from A. Naya to D. Peters re Notice of Incomplete Application Water Use Permit ("WU") Application No. 200612-14, Permit No. 43-00053-W Project Name City of Stuart Public Water Supply County: Martin		

## 2:18-mn-02873-RMG Date Filed 05/08/23 Entry Number 3089-1 Page 17 of 17

City of Stuart, FL, v. 3M Company et al.,

No. 2:18-cv-03487

Defendants' Core Trial Exhibit List, with Plaintiff's Remaining Objections and Defendants' Responses

**Plaintiff's Remaining Objections** Ex. No. **Beg Bates** Description Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Objections ePermitting Application No. 200612-14, Permit No. 43-00053-W, DTRX\_007524 Issuing office: WPB/SFWMD, Permit Type: Water Use Modification; Project Name: City of Stuart Public Water Supply DTRX 007525 STUART 2:18-CV-03487 00775768 DTRX\_007526 STUART\_2:18-CV-03487\_00775763 DTRX 007527 STUART 2:18-CV-03487 00775430 DTRX 007528 STUART 2:18-CV-03487 00775554 DTRX\_007529 STUART\_2:18-CV-03487\_00776206 DTRX\_007530 STUART\_2:18-CV-03487\_00775555 Agenda Regular Meeting Of The Stuart City Commission March 13, DTRX\_007531 2023 Commission Chambers 121 SW Flagler Ave. Stuart, Florida 34994 (packet of materials) DTRX 007532 STUART 2:18-CV-03487 00775621 DTRX 007533 STUART 2:18-CV-03487 00775579 Capital Project Dashboard, Reverse Osmosis Water Treatment DTRX\_007534 Plant Project ID: 2100326 Agenda Regular Meeting Of The Stuart City Commission March 27, DTRX\_007536 2023 Commission Chambers 121 SW Flagler Ave. Stuart, Florida 34994 (packet of materials) DTRX\_007539 Stuart\_2:18-cv-03487\_00052828 DTRX\_007540 Stuart\_2:18-cv-03487\_00226759 DTRX 007541 3M AFFF MDL01994523 DTRX 007572 3M AFFF MDL03549502 DTRX 007584 3M AFFF MDL01298222 DTRX\_007587 3M\_AFFF\_MDL03303933 DTRX 007591 3M AFFF MDL00458145 DTRX\_007596 3M\_AFFF\_MDL00685430 DTRX 007597 3M AFFF MDL01059953 DTRX 007598 3M AFFF MDL02183094 DTRX\_007599 3M\_AFFF\_MDL01296625 DTRX 007600 3M AFFF MDL00579093 DTRX\_007603 3M\_NYLAAN00415049 DTRX 007657 3M GU00585190 DTRX 007658 3M AFFF MDL02292662 DTRX 007662 AFFF-MDL-EID-04592957 DTRX 007663 AFFF-MDL-CHE-00364260 DTRX\_007664 AFFF-MDL-EID-04250150 DTRX 007666 AFFF-MDL-CHE-00362836 DTRX 007667 AFFF-MDL-EID-04259058 DTRX\_007668 AFF-MDL-EID-03415163

16

## May 8, 2023